

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,569	05/19/2005	Kazuhiro Oshika	MAT-8672US	7722
23122 7590 03/22/2007 RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			EXAMINER	
			PENDLETON, DIONNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/535,569	OSHIKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dionne H. Pendleton	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 A</u>	uaust 2004					
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 August 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2005;12/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

- 1. Figures 5A-5C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected
  drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action
  to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled
  "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct
  any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,
  the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next
  Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show:

"each terminal" recited in claim 1, line 5;

the "conductive pattern part" on the printed circuit board, recited in claim, line 6; "signal inputting parts" recited in claim 1, line 7;

the "terminal", recited in claim 2, line 1;

the "connector", recited in claim 2, line 2; and

the "another printed circuit board", recited in claim 2, line 2

as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing.

MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are

Art Unit: 2615

Page 3

required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1,2,5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mullenborn (US 2004/0120540).

Art Unit: 2615

### Regarding claim 1,

In **figure 1C**, Mullenborn teaches a loudspeaker apparatus comprising: an elongate printed circuit board **82**; in paragraph [0035], a plurality of actuators (speakers) **80a,80b,80c,80d** mounted on the PCB and aligned substantially straightly in much the same direction as the elongate PCB, the PCB being for incorporation into a larger housing for cooperation with other electronic elements, thereby inherently including *terminals* for inputting a signal of the loudspeakers **80a,80b,80c,80d**, and wherein each terminal is electrically coupled with a conductive pattern part (shown) of the PCB;

And wherein signal inputting parts for inputting a signal from the outside are formed by leading the conductive pattern part (shown) to at least both ends of the PCB in the longitudinal direction, as claimed.

#### Regarding claim 2,

Mullenborn teaches a signal inputting part comprising a conductive pattern (shown) on the PCB, as well as inherently teaching a terminal. Since the PCB in **figure 1C** is for integration into a larger electronic device, Mullenborn is interpreted as inherently teaching that the PCB **82** is coupled with another PCB or printed board of the main device.

### Regarding claims 5 and 7,

In paragraph [0040] Mullenborn teaches a high frequency roll-off filter (which is realized with a capacitor) coupled to the conductive pattern part, as broadly claimed, thus reading on "a high cut filter, which restrains an input at high frequencies...".

Art Unit: 2615

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3,4,6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullenborn (US 2004/0120540).

### Regarding claims 3 and 6,

Mullenborn teaches the invention of claims 1 and 2. Mullenborn also teaches that the loudspeakers 80a, 80b, 80c, 80d are electrically coupled with one another. Mullenborn does not clearly teach that the impedance is equalized or approximated to an impedance of one loudspeaker. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art and would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a means for equalizing impedance of the drive signals applied to the speaker devices, for the purpose of more accurately reproducing the sound radiation pattern of the sensed acoustic signal.

# Regarding claims 4 and 8,

Mullenborn does not clearly teach that the loudspeakers may be connected in series. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art and would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

Art Unit: 2615

Page 6

to connect the speakers **80a**, **80b**, **80c**, **80d** in series, so that the reproduced audio signals are in phase with one another.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne H. Pendleton whose telephone number is 571-272-7497. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dionne Pendleton

SUPERVISE BY PATE OF EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800